

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 181 (2002) 137-142



www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

# Highly active and stable Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for H<sub>2</sub> production from methane

# Hyun-Seog Roh<sup>a,b</sup>, Ki-Won Jun<sup>a</sup>, Wen-Sheng Dong<sup>a</sup>, Jong-San Chang<sup>a</sup>, Sang-Eon Park<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yung-II Joe<sup>b</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Catalysis Center for Molecular Engineering, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, P.O. Box 107, Yusong, Taejon 305-600, South Korea
<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, South Korea

Received 23 January 2001; received in revised form 9 April 2001; accepted 22 June 2001

#### Abstract

Steam reforming of methane has been carried out aimed at the development of new and highly active catalysts for  $H_2$  production. The catalytic properties of Ni catalysts supported on various supports have been investigated in connection with characterization results obtained from XRD,  $H_2$  chemisorption, TPR and CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD. Among the catalysts examined, Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> exhibited the best activity and stability. The remarkable catalytic performance is interpreted as a combined result of high oxygen storage capacity of ceria in Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub>, strong interaction between Ni and Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub>, basic property of the catalyst and rather high capability of  $H_2$  uptake. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: CO2-TPD; Hydrogen chemisorption; Hydrogen production; Ni/Ce-ZrO2; Steam reforming of methane; TPR

# 1. Introduction

Hydrogen production has received much attention in recent years because hydrogen is considered as a clean energy source and its demand has increased in chemical industry [1–3]. Steam reforming of methane (SRM) is a widely practiced technology for hydrogen production [4,5]. Conventionally, steam reforming catalysts use supports such as alumina and magnesium-aluminate due to their stabilities at high temperature [5]. However, a large amount of energy is necessary because SRM is highly endothermic reaction and excess steam is introduced to prevent carbon formation. As a consequence of excess steam, the

fax: +82-42-860-7676.

cost of operating SRM plant increases,  $H_2/CO$  ratio (>3) increases and CO yield decreases. Therefore, from the industrial point of view, it is necessary to develop new catalysts exceeding commercial steam reforming catalysts in activity and stability so that they can be employed under severe conditions such as high gas hourly space velocity (GHSV). Moreover, catalytic engineering is required to reduce the size and weight of the reactor for on-site  $H_2$  production, especially for the fuel cell application. To make the small equipment, a highly active catalyst is necessary so that it can give almost complete conversion even at very high space velocity and it should be stable enough to endure at high temperature.

Very recently, we have successfully applied zirconia support to  $CO_2$  reforming of methane [6,7]. Moreover, we have also successfully applied Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> to partial oxidation of methane (POM) reaction [8]

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +82-42-860-7670;

E-mail address: separk@pado.krict.re.kr (S.-E. Park).

and oxy-SRM reaction [9]. Based on the previous results, in this study, we have applied  $Ni/Ce-ZrO_2$  to the steam reforming reaction at high GHSV condition aiming at the development of a suitable catalyst for a compact system of on-site H<sub>2</sub> generation.

# 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

Supports employed in this study were  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (99.5%, Strem Chemicals), SiO<sub>2</sub> (99%, PQ Corp.), MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (99%, Johnson Matthey), CeO<sub>2</sub> (99%, Aldrich Chemicals), monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub> (99%, Strem Chemicals), and Ce-doped ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Ce-doped zirconia support (CeO<sub>2</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> wt. ratio, 1:4) was prepared by sol–gel method using the mixture of zirconyl chloride and the corresponding salt of Ce [7–9]. The modified zirconia support was calcined at 800 °C for 6 h in air. Ni (15 wt.%) catalysts were prepared by molten-salt method using its nitrate source [10]. The catalyst samples were calcined at 550 °C for 6 h in air.

### 2.2. Catalyst characterization

The BET specific surface areas were measured by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K (Micromeritics, ASAP-2400). XRD patterns were recorded using a Rigaku 2155D6 diffractometer (Ni-filtered Cu K $\alpha$ , 40 kV, 50 mA).

H<sub>2</sub> uptake was measured using pulse technique. About 200 mg of catalyst was placed in a quartz reactor. Prior to pulse chemisorption, the sample was reduced in 5% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar at 700 °C for 3 h. Then the sample was purged in Ar at 720 °C for 1 h and cooled to 50 °C in flowing Ar. A hydrogen pulse (5% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar, 1 ml) was injected into the catalysts at 50 °C. The calculation methods of metal dispersion, surface area and average crystallite diameter are same as that in reference [11] by assuming the adsorption stoichiometry of one hydrogen atom per nickel surface atom (H/Ni<sub>s</sub> = 1).

Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) experiments were carried out in a conventional apparatus. Typically, 50 mg of precalcined sample was loaded into quartz reactor. The TPR was performed using 5% hydrogen in nitrogen, 15 ml/min, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min, from 100 to 900 °C. The sensitivity of the detector was calibrated by reducing known weight of NiO. H<sub>2</sub> consumption was obtained from the integrated peak area of the reduction profiles relative to the calibration curve.

CO<sub>2</sub> temperature programmed desorption (TPD) experiment was carried out using a flow system equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Prior to the experiment, the sample was pretreated at 700 °C for 1 h in He. Then it was cooled down and CO<sub>2</sub> was adsorbed at 50 °C. After being purged with He to remove the weakly adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub>, the sample was heated again from 50 to 650 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in He flow.

### 2.3. Catalytic reaction

Catalytic activity measurements were conducted in a fixed-bed quartz reactor with inner diameter of 4 mm at atmospheric pressure. About 50 mg of each catalyst was loaded into a quartz reactor. The reaction temperature was measured and controlled by a thermocouple inserted directly into the top layer of the catalyst bed. Prior to each catalytic measurement, the catalyst was reduced in  $H_2/N_2$  (5%  $H_2$  in vol.) at 700 °C for 2 h. The reactant gas stream consisted of CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O with a molar ratio of 1:3. H<sub>2</sub>O was injected using a micro-syringe pump, and evaporated at 300 °C in a preheating zone. N2 was introduced with the flow rate of  $30 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$  as a reference gas for GC analysis. Effluent gases from the reactor were analyzed by a gas chromatograph (Chrompack CP9001) equipped with a TCD and a CarboPLOT P7 column.

In this study,  $H_2$  yield and CO yield were defined considering only the steam reforming reaction as shown in the following equations.

$$H_2 \text{ yield (\%)} = (\text{moles of } H_2 \text{ formed/moles of } CH_4 \text{ fed } \times 3) \times 100$$
(1)

CO yield (%)

$$= (\text{moles of CO formed/moles of CH}_4 \text{ fed}) \times 100$$
(2)



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of Ni/Ce-ZrO2 and Ni/ZrO2 catalysts.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Characteristics of the catalysts

Fig. 1 illustrates the XRD patterns of Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Whereas Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> solid solution in Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> is identified as a tetragonal phase, the XRD pattern of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> shows characteristic peaks of monoclinic phase.

Table 1 summarizes BET surface area, H<sub>2</sub> uptake, metallic Ni dispersion, surface area and average crystallite diameter of Ni. The dispersion data indicate that Ni particles are better dispersed on MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> than on Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub>. The latter do not adsorb hydrogen.

#### 3.2. Catalytic performance

Various Ni catalysts (Ni content: 15 wt.%) on supports mentioned above were examined at  $750 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and space velocity of  $144,000 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}_{cat}$  h for CH<sub>4</sub>

Table 1 Characteristics of supported Ni catalysts



Fig. 2. Activity comparison for supported Ni catalysts (reaction conditions: P = 1 atm,  $T = 750^{\circ}$ C,  $H_2O/CH_4 = 3.0$ , GHSV = 144,000 cm<sup>3</sup>/g<sub>cat</sub> h).

conversion, H<sub>2</sub> yield, and CO yield (Fig. 2). Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed a low initial activity (23% CH<sub>4</sub> conversion). Its activity decreased dramatically with time on stream, and after 60 min it showed no activity. This indicates that Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> system does not have high activity in SRM or hydrothermal stability either. It is likely that there is an interaction between silica and Ni resulting in the deactivation of the catalysts. Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst showed rather high activity (72% CH<sub>4</sub> conversion) at the initial stage, but the activity decreased gradually with increasing time. It showed 57% CH<sub>4</sub> conversion after 200 min. It would be due to the fact that SRM reaction in this work was operated with rather lower H<sub>2</sub>O/C ratio than that in commercial processes. On the Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, carbon was deposited slowly, and thus the catalyst was deactivated gradually. In contrast to these two systems, Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> showed high activity (79% CH<sub>4</sub> conversion) as well as high stability. Because MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is less acidic than Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, less coke is formed on it resulting in high stability.

Catalyst	Surface area $(m^2/g)$	H <sub>2</sub> uptake $(\mu mol/g_{cat})$	Dispersion (%)	Surface area of Ni (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Average crystallite diameter (nm)
Ni/Ce–ZrO <sub>2</sub>	40	9.39	0.86	0.76	112
Ni/ZrO <sub>2</sub>	13	12.78	1.07	1.04	90.1
Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub>	3	0.0	_	_	_
Ni/MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	18	24.1	2.23	1.96	43
Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1	0.0	_	_	_

Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub> did not show high activity, but the activity was maintained as time on stream increased. Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed high activity (77% CH<sub>4</sub> conversion) and high thermal stability also. Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed the highest activity (97% CH<sub>4</sub> conversion) as well as high stability. The H<sub>2</sub> concentration in the reactor effluent was 62%. The values of CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and H<sub>2</sub> concentration reached very close to their own equilibrium values (CH<sub>4</sub> conversion: 99.4% and H<sub>2</sub> concentration: 62.7%).

Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed the highest H<sub>2</sub> yield among the tested catalysts. It showed the 115% yield, which indicates that the quantity of hydrogen obtained exceeds the limit which is obtainable only from SRM. It is due to the water gas shift (WGS) reaction resulting from excess supply of steam. This also explains the fact that H<sub>2</sub> yield is always higher than CH<sub>4</sub> conversion irrespective of catalyst. However, all the other catalysts gave hydrogen yield below 100%.

CO yields were relatively low (<70%) because of the WGS reaction. The values were relatively high at the initial stage of the reaction time, and they decreased gradually. The values reached a steady state after 100 min.

 $H_2/CO$  and  $H_2/(CO + CO_2)$  ratios are summarized in Table 2. The trends of  $H_2/CO$  ratio were opposite to those of methane conversion or hydrogen yield. The lower  $H_2/CO$  ratio was observed, as the higher CH<sub>4</sub> conversion was attained. On the catalysts, Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, about 3.5 mol of hydrogen was produced per 1 mol of methane reacted in SRM. The higher value than 3 indicates that WGS reaction was carried out as a side reaction during SRM reaction. In the case of Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub>,

Table 2  $\rm H_2/CO$  ratio and  $\rm H_2/(CO+CO_2)$  over supported Ni catalysts in SRMa  $\rm$ 

Catalyst	$H_2/CO$		$H_2/(CO + CO_2)$	
	144,000 <sup>b</sup>	288,000	144,000	288,000
Ni/Ce–ZrO <sub>2</sub>	4.9	7.1	3.4	3.7
Ni/ZrO <sub>2</sub>	6.3	7.8	3.4	3.8
Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub>	11.1	38.0	3.8	3.9
Ni/MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	6.4	9.2	3.5	3.8
Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	10.1		3.7	

<sup>a</sup> Reaction data obtained at time on stream: 200 min.

<sup>b</sup> GHSV (cm<sup>3</sup>/g<sub>cat</sub> h).



Fig. 3. Activity changes of supported Ni catalysts depending on time on stream at high GHSV (reaction conditions: P = 1 atm,  $T = 750^{\circ}$ C, H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>4</sub> = 3.0, GHSV = 288,000 cm<sup>3</sup>/g<sub>cat</sub> h).

the highest  $H_2/CO$  ratio was observed, implying that considerable WGS reaction was executed.

In order to investigate the activity and the stability at high GHSV, CH<sub>4</sub> conversions with time on stream were recorded at  $288,000 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}_{cat}$  h over Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub>, and Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Fig. 3). All the catalysts tested at this condition showed stable activity at  $144,000 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}_{cat}$  h. However, in this high GHSV, Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> did not show stability. Its CH<sub>4</sub> conversion was initially 56%, but it decreased to 40% after 3 h. This catalyst does not have stability at high GHSV  $(>288,000 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}_{cat} \text{ h})$ . In contrast to this catalyst, the others showed stable activities regardless of the initial activities.  $H_2/CO$  and  $H_2/(CO + CO_2)$  ratios under this condition are also summarized in Table 2. H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratios at 288,000 cm<sup>3</sup>/g<sub>cat</sub> h were higher than those at 144,000 cm<sup>3</sup>/ $g_{cat}$  h. The WGS reaction became predominant at this high GHSV resulting in low CO yield, because relatively a large amount of unreacted steam reacts with relatively a small amount of CO produced.

According to the above results, Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed the highest activity and excellent stability, showing the possibility that it can replace the widely employed Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> steam reforming catalysts.

# 3.3. TPR results

TPR patterns on pure NiO and supported Ni catalysts are presented in Fig. 4. The calculated total



Fig. 4. TPR patterns of supported Ni catalysts.

hydrogen consumption (THC), support hydrogen consumption (SHC) and reduction degree of Ni from TPR results are also summarized in Table 3. Generally, for supported Ni catalysts the lower temperature peaks are attributed to the reduction of the relatively free NiO particles, while the higher temperature peaks are assigned to the reduction of complex NiO species in intimate contact with the oxide support. Pure NiO shows sharp reduction peak at about 420 °C followed by a small hump. The TPR curve of Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is similar to that of pure NiO, indicating that there is no strong interaction between NiO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support. In the case of Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the maximum peak shifts toward the high temperature (470 °C) followed by a

Table 3 TPR results on supported Ni catalysts

Catalysts	THC <sup>a</sup> (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	SHC <sup>b</sup> (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Reduction degree of Ni (%)
Ni/Ce–ZrO <sub>2</sub>	5.03	0.70	84.7
Ni/ZrO <sub>2</sub>	4.73	0	92.5
Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub>	4.49	0	87.8
Ni/MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	4.29	0	83.9
Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.94	0	96.7

<sup>a</sup> Total hydrogen consumption in TPR over supported Ni catalysts.

<sup>b</sup> Hydrogen consumption of support in Ni/supports.

small peak at 620 °C. This suggests that there are two kinds of NiO species. The first peak can be assigned to relatively free NiO weakly interacting with support, and the second peak is attributable to complex NiO strongly interacting with support. Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub> shows sharp reduction peak at about 420 °C followed by a small tail. At 880 °C, a broad peak appears attributed to partial reduction of CeO<sub>2</sub>, which is consistent with the TPR curve of only CeO<sub>2</sub> support [8,9]. Thus, there is no strong interaction between NiO and CeO<sub>2</sub>. For Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, broad and unresolved reduction peaks with maxima at about 400 and 510 °C are observed.

Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> exhibits two peaks without any obvious peak at 640 °C. This is possibly due to the fact that strong interaction between Ni and Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> makes ceria more reducible, which probably helps to produce mobile oxygen during the reforming reaction. The first sharp peak can be assigned to relatively free NiO and the second broad peak to complex NiO species which strongly interact with CeZrO<sub>2</sub>, and it seems to be concurrent with ceria reduction. The reducibility of the support is most likely to be related with the abundance of mobile oxygen. Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> solid solution has a higher concentration of mobile oxygen than CeO<sub>2</sub> or ZrO<sub>2</sub> [9].

### 3.4. CO<sub>2</sub> TPD results

CO<sub>2</sub> TPD results on the supported Ni catalysts are illustrated in Fig. 5. Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> shows a desorption peak at 100 °C. Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> presents large desorption peak at 150 °C. Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub> has a desorption peak at 100 °C, which is same as that of Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> but the peak intensity is large and it has a long tail, indicating continuous desorption or dissociation of CO<sub>2</sub> up to 500 °C. In the case of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, a strong peak at 130 °C appears followed by a big tail and a small peak at 500 °C. Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> exhibits three peaks at 130, 350, and 490 °C, respectively. The areas under the peaks at 350 and 490 °C increase with the addition of Ce, suggesting that both the medium and strong basic sites increase with the addition of Ce to ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

The high oxygen storage capacity of ceria in  $Ce-ZrO_2$  solid solution enables it to store and release reversibly a large amount of active oxygen species. In addition, basic sites strongly inhibit carbon formation. Finally, the high adsorption of  $H_2$  promotes the methane reforming reactions.



Fig. 5. CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD patterns of supported Ni catalysts.

# 4. Conclusions

Based on the above results, the following conclusions are drawn.

 Ni/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed outstanding activity and stability.  The remarkable catalytic performance of Ni/Ce– ZrO<sub>2</sub> is attributed to the combination of several advantages, i.e. high oxygen storage capacity of ceria in Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub> solid solution, strong interaction between Ni and Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub>, basic property, and rather high capability for H<sub>2</sub> uptake.

# References

- [1] M.A. Peña, J.P. Gómez, J.L.G. Fierro, Appl. Catal. A 144 (1996) 7.
- [2] J.N. Armor, Appl. Catal. A 176 (1999) 159.
- [3] S.C. Tsang, J.B. Claridge, M.L.H. Green, Catal. Today 23 (1995) 3.
- [4] J.R. Rostrup-Nielsen, in: J.R. Anderson, M. Boudart (Eds.), Catalysis, Science and Technology, Vol. 5, Springer, Berlin, 1984, p. 1.
- [5] D.E. Ridler, M.V. Twigg, in: M.V. Twigg (Ed.), Catalyst Handbook, 2nd Edition, Wolfe Publishing Ltd., England, 1989, p. 225 (Chapter 5).
- [6] X. Li, J.-S. Chang, S.-E. Park, Chem. Lett. (1999) 1099.
- [7] X. Li, J.-S. Chang, M. Tian, S.-E. Park, Appl. Organometal. Chem. 15 (2001) 109.
- [8] H.-S. Roh, W.-S. Dong, K.-W. Jun, S.-E. Park, Chem. Lett. (2001) 88.
- [9] H.-S. Roh, K.-W. Jun, W.-S. Dong, S.-E. Park, Y.-S. Baek, Catal. Lett. (2001), in press.
- [10] J.-S. Chang, S.-E. Park, H. Chon, Appl. Catal. A 145 (1996) 111.
- [11] C.H. Bartholomew, R.B. Pannell, J. Catal. 65 (1980) 390.